

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/689,721	BAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alex Liew	2624	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Alex Liew. (3)_____

(2) Luminita Todor. (4)_____

Date of Interview: 04 October 2007.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 2.

Identification of prior art discussed: Ishiyama 2002/0097906.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



BHAVESH M. MEHTA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: With regards to claim 1, the applicant pointed out Ishiyama, figure 9 point P, does not disclose limitation "means for determining a straight line which passes through a measuring point on the object and a specific point on said camera from the image of the object captured by said camera." The examiner agreed with the applicant. With regards to claim 2, the applicant pointed out Ishiyama, equation 5, does not disclose limitation "determines parameter values that describe a transformation expressing geometrical deformation with respect to said reference image provided by mapping using said camera." The examiner agreed with the applicant.



BHAVESH M. MEHTA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600